Calendar No. 357

110TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1182

[Report No. 110-159]

To amend the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 to increase the authorization of appropriations and modify the date on which the authority of the Secretary of the Interior terminates under the Act.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

April 20, 2007

Mr. Dodd (for himself, Mr. Lieberman, Mr. Kerry, and Mr. Kennedy) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources

September 17, 2007

Reported by Mr. BINGAMAN, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To amend the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 to increase the authorization of appropriations and modify the date on which the authority of the Secretary of the Interior terminates under the Act.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "Quinebaug and
- 3 Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor
- 4 Amendments Act of 2007".
- 5 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 6 Congress finds that—
- 7 (1) thousands of individuals, hundreds of non8 profit organizations, businesses, and local and re9 gional organizations, 35 towns, and 2 States have
 10 worked to preserve and enhance the significant nat11 ural, historic, cultural, and scenic resources of the
 12 Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National
 13 Heritage Corridor, an area which is commonly

known as "The Last Green Valley";

- (2) the Green Valley Institute, a partnership between the University of Connecticut and the cooperative extension system of the University of Massachusetts, has significantly improved the information base available to the primarily volunteer municipal officers that are responsible for making land use and natural resource conservation decisions with respect to The Last Green Valley;
- (3) during the 5 years preceding the date of enactment of this Act, the Green Valley Institute was recognized with a total of 8 State or national awards for public education;

1	(4) significant historic structures have been
2	identified and restored under the programs carried
3	out in the Heritage Corridor;
4	(5) museums, attractions, landscapes, and busi-
5	nesses in the Heritage Corridor have been assembled
6	into cohesive interpretive projects that tell the sto-
7	ries of The Last Green Valley for residents and
8	tourists;
9	(6) as part of the vision to sustain the tradi-
10	tional land-based economy of the region, the Herit-
11	age Corridor has—
12	(A) developed agritourism and continuing
13	education programs for the region; and
14	(B) collected and analyzed data relating to
15	the vision;
16	(7) recreational tourism has been enhanced by
17	the many trails, greenways, river access, and inter-
18	pretive projects in the Heritage Corridor;
19	(8) each of the 35 municipal governments with-
20	in the Heritage Corridor has signed a voluntary and
21	nonbinding community compact in which govern-
22	ments—
23	(A) accept the goals and objectives of the
24	management plan for the Heritage Corridor;
25	and

1	(B) formalize the commitment of the gov-
2	ernments to balance conservation and growth
3	and the collective vision of the governments for
4	the watershed;
5	(9) during the past 11 years the Heritage Cor-
6	ridor has consistently leveraged an average of \$19
7	for every Federal dollar invested in the Heritage
8	Corridor;
9	(10) the Heritage Corridor has adopted, and is
10	implementing, a comprehensive plan, entitled "The
11	Trail to 2015, A Sustainability Plan for The Last
12	Green Valley", to become self-sufficient by the year
13	2015; and
14	(11) reauthorizing the Heritage Corridor would
15	leverage significant multiyear commitments and en-
16	sure self-sufficiency by 2015 for the programs and
17	projects that are fulfilling the mission of the Herit-
18	age Corridor.
19	SEC. 3. QUINEBAUG AND SHETUCKET RIVERS VALLEY NA
20	TIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR.
21	(a) Termination of Authority.—Section 106(b)
22	of the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National
23	Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; Pub-
24	lie Law 103–449) is amended by striking "September 30,
25	2009" and inserting "September 30, 2015".

- 1 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section
- 2 109(a) of the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley Na-
- 3 tional Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note;
- 4 Public Law 103-449) is amended by striking
- 5 "\\$10,000,000" and inserting "\\$15,000,000".
- 6 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 7 This Act may be cited as the "Quinebaug and
- 8 Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor
- 9 Amendments Act of 2007".
- 10 SEC. 2. QUINEBAUG AND SHETUCKET RIVERS VALLEY NA-
- 11 TIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR.
- 12 (a) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—Section 106(b) of
- 13 the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Herit-
- 14 age Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; Public Law
- 15 103-449) is amended by striking "September 30, 2009" and
- 16 inserting "September 30, 2015".
- 17 (b) Evaluation; Report.—Section 106 of the
- 18 Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage
- 19 Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; Public Law 103–
- 20 449) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- 21 "(c) EVALUATION; REPORT.—
- 22 "(1) In general.—Not later than 3 years before
- 23 the date on which authority for Federal funding ter-
- 24 minates for the Corridor, the Secretary shall—

1	"(A) conduct an evaluation of the accom-
2	plishments of the Corridor; and
3	"(B) prepare a report in accordance with
4	paragraph (3).
5	"(2) Evaluation.—An evaluation conducted
6	under paragraph (1)(A) shall—
7	"(A) assess the progress of the management
8	entity with respect to—
9	"(i) accomplishing the purposes of this
10	title for the Corridor; and
11	"(ii) achieving the goals and objectives
12	of the management plan for the Corridor;
13	"(B) analyze the Federal, State, local, and
14	private investments in the Corridor to determine
15	the leverage and impact of the investments; and
16	"(C) review the management structure,
17	partnership relationships, and funding of the
18	Corridor for purposes of identifying the critical
19	components for sustainability of the Corridor.
20	"(3) Report.—
21	"(A) In general.—Based on the evalua-
22	tion conducted under paragraph (1)(A), the Sec-
23	retary shall prepare a report that includes rec-
24	ommendations for the future role of the National

1	Park Service, if any, with respect to the Cor-
2	ridor.
3	"(B) Required analysis.—If the report
4	prepared under subparagraph (A) recommends
5	that Federal funding for the Corridor be reau-
6	thorized, the report shall include an analysis
7	of—
8	"(i) ways in which Federal funding for
9	the Corridor may be reduced or eliminated;
10	and
11	"(ii) the appropriate time period nec-
12	essary to achieve the recommended reduc-
13	$tion\ or\ elimination.$
14	"(C) Submission to congress.—On com-
15	pletion of the report, the Secretary shall submit
16	the report to—
17	"(i) the Committee on Energy and
18	Natural Resources of the Senate; and
19	"(ii) the Committee on Natural Re-
20	sources of the House of Representatives.".
21	(c) Authorization of Appropriations.—Section
22	109(a) of the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley Na-
23	tional Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note;
24	Public Law 103–449) is amended by striking
25	"\$10,000,000" and inserting "\$15,000,000".

Calendar No. 357

110TH CONGRESS S. 1182

[Report No. 110-159]

A BILL

To amend the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 to increase the authorization of appropriations and modify the date on which the authority of the Secretary of the Interior terminates under the Act.

SEPTEMBER 17, 2007 Reported with an amendment